COLLISION AVOIDANCE SYSTEM (Reissu) Serial # 09/892,185 GAU 3661 Examiner Eric M. Gibson Applicant Brett O. Hall 4206 Lazy Creek Dr. Marietta, GA 30066 770-517-6135; Responsive to 2/26/04 OA REMARKS

Recitation of Errors

The Examiner informed the Applicant by telephone that although the recitation of the errors that the Applicant seeks to correct has been sufficiently explained throughout the reissue case, specific listing of errors on the Reissue Application Declaration (PTO/SB/51) was a formal requirement for completion of the reissue application.

The Applicant attempted to include as much information as possible within the space provided on form PTO/SB/51, regarding the errors that were corrected with this reissue application, including using small font and printing into the page margins. The Applicant did not feel the liberty to alter the form to make more space or include an additional page because of the significant and sensitive nature of a document of oath. In other words, if the Applicant's previous explanations for the changes in the claim language since the original application had to be on an oath document, the Applicant felt that it would be unacceptable for that same document to be physically altered or appended.

The Applicant assumes that it is not a requirement to list every error and corrective effort associated with a particular claim. In general, the reissue was based on removing limitations that were not required to overcome prior art. As a result the Applicant had a right to claim more than was covered in the original claims.

About Claim 6

The Applicant's 11/03/03 response included both a set of modified claims (labeled "Modified Claims: Pages 1 – 6") and a set of final reissue claims (labeled "Final Reissue Claims: Pages 1-8"). The modified claims contained only the latest requested changes and the final reissue claims were a complete listing of the claims after all desired edits are considered. The modified claims did not include claim 6 as no changes were requested to claim 6. The Applicant believes that the Examiner inadvertently reviewed the complete listing of claims instead of the modified claims in requesting the removal of claims 6 on page 2 of the Office Action dated 02/26/2004. Therefore, the Applicant believes that the requirement cited by the Examiner was satisfied with the 11/03/03 r sponse.

About the Referenced 11/25/2003 Response

The Examiner acknowledged in a telephone conversation after the 02/26/2004 Office Action was received that references to an Applicant response dated 11/25/2003 was in error and the comments were really in reference to the Applicant's 11/03/2003 response.

About the Examiner's Statement Regarding Allowable Subject Matter

The Applicant would like to make a clarification regarding the Examiner's statement that the invention determines the likelihood of a collision. A more precise statement is that the invention determines the increased likelihood of collision.

STATEMENT OF STATUS OF REISSUE CLAIMS

All claims 1-32 are pending.

COLLISION AVOIDANCE SYSTEM (R issue) S rial # 09/892,185 GAU 3661 Examiner Eric M. Gibson Applicant Brett O. Hall 4208 Lazy Creek Dr. Marietta, GA 30066 770-517-6135; Responsive to 2/26/04 OA

Please direct all questions regarding this application to the Applicant as indicated below.

R spectfully Submitted,

Brett O. Hall

4206 Lazy Creek Drive

Marietta, GA 30066

770-517-6135